Finding a marked node on any graph by continuous-time quantum walk

Jérémie Roland



Université libre de Bruxelles



Quantum Information & Communication

Joint work with Shantanav Chakraborty and Leonardo Novo arXiv:1807.05957

Jérémie Roland (ULB)

Outline

Introduction

- Spatial search by random walk
- Spatial search by quantum walk

Our contributions

- Overview
- Childs-Goldstone algorithm
- Modified Childs-Goldstone algorithm
- New algorithm using interpolated Markov Chains

3 Conclusion

Classical random walk on a graph



- Classical random walk on a discrete state space X, such that |X| = n.
- Described by a $n \times n$ stochastic matrix *P* such that its (x, y)th entry is p_{xy} .
- If the row-vector v_0 is the initial state of the walker, after *t*-steps: $v_t = v_0 P^t$.
- Stationary state: row vector π such that $\pi = \pi P$.
- Assumptions: P is ergodic and reversible
 - ► Eigenvalues of P lie between −1 and 1.
 - π is unique.
 - $\pi_x p_{xy} = \pi_y p_{yx}$ for all (x, y)

Classical Hitting time



Set of marked nodes: $M \subseteq X$.

Hitting time: Starting from some random node $x \sim \pi$, the expected number of steps to reach some node $\in M$.

Spatial search (classical)

- **1**. Sample $x \in X$ from π .
- **2**. Check if $x \in M$.
- **3**. If $x \in M$, output x
- 4. Otherwise update x according to P and go to step 2.

Hitting time of *P* with respect to *M* is the expected number of times step 4 is executed.

Classical Hitting time

Spatial search stops when $x \in M \implies$ Walk on an absorbing Markov chain P'



HT(P, M) = Expected number of steps of P' to reach some $x \in M$.

Complexity of spatial search by quantum walk?

Discrete-time quantum walk (DTQW)?

Continuous-time quantum walk (CTQW)?

Outline

Introduction

- Spatial search by random walk
- Spatial search by quantum walk

Our contributions

- Overview
- Childs-Goldstone algorithm
- Modified Childs-Goldstone algorithm
- New algorithm using interpolated Markov Chains

3 Conclusion

Complexity of spatial search by DTQW

For any *ergodic*, *reversible* Markov chain *P* with a set of *M* marked nodes: $O\left(\sqrt{HT^+(P, M)}\right)$. [Krovi, Magniez, Ozols, and Roland 2014]

HT⁺(P, M): Extended hitting time

- For |M| = 1, $HT^+(P, M) = HT(P, M) \implies$ Quadratic speedup for unique marked node.
- For |M| > 1, $HT^+(P, M) \ge HT(P, M)$.

Previous talk

Improved to

$$\mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{HT(P,M)}\log\left(\sqrt{HT(P,M)}\right)\right).$$

[Ambainis, Gilyén, Jeffery and Kokainis 2019]

Discrete-time and continuous-time quantum walks

Complexity of spatial search by DTQW

For any *ergodic*, *reversible* Markov chain *P* with a set of *M* marked nodes: $O\left(\sqrt{HT^+(P, M)}\right)$. [Krovi, Magniez, Ozols, and R. 2014]

HT⁺(P, M): Extended hitting time

- For |M| = 1, $HT^+(P, M) = HT(P, M) \implies$ Quadratic speedup for unique marked node.
- For |M| > 1, $HT^+(P, M) \ge HT(P, M)$.

Complexity of spatial search by CTQW

- No such general result is known.
- Childs and Goldstone proposed a CTQW-based algorithm in 2004.
- Has been applied to certain specific graphs such as d-dimensional lattices, hypercubes and others.

Outline

Introduction

- Spatial search by random walk
- Spatial search by quantum walk

Our contributions

- Overview
- Childs-Goldstone algorithm
- Modified Childs-Goldstone algorithm
- New algorithm using interpolated Markov Chains

3 Conclusion

For any ergodic, reversible Markov chain:

- General conditions for the optimality of the Childs and Goldstone algorithm
- Modified version of the Childs and Goldstone algorithm with better running time
- Spatial search algorithm by CTQW with running time $\Theta(\sqrt{HT^+(P, M)})$

Continuous-time quantum walk on a graph

General idea

- Prepare initial state $|\psi_0\rangle$
- Evolve under Hamiltonian H_G , encoding the connectivity of the graph
- Probability of the walker being at node $|x\rangle$, after time *t*

$$p(t) = |\langle x| e^{-iH_G t} |\psi_0\rangle|^2.$$

Application to spatial search

- What Hamiltonian *H_G* to consider for a given graph?
- How is the Hamiltonian modified for marked nodes? (Oracle?)

Outline

Introduction

- Spatial search by random walk
- Spatial search by quantum walk

Our contributions

- Overview
- Childs-Goldstone algorithm
- Modified Childs-Goldstone algorithm
- New algorithm using interpolated Markov Chains

3 Conclusion

Spatial search by CTQW (Childs and Goldstone 2004)

Setup

- Hamiltonian: $H_G = A$, adjacency matrix of the graph
 - Hilbert space: spanned by the nodes of the graph $\{|1\rangle, \ldots, |n\rangle\}$
- Node $|w\rangle$ marked by energy penalty: $H_{oracle} = |w\rangle \langle w|$

\mathcal{CG} algorithm

- 1. Prepare the state $|\psi_0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{x} |x\rangle$
- 2. Evolve according to Hamiltonian $H = H_{oracle} + rH_G$ for time $T = O(\sqrt{n})$ where *r* is a real number that should be optimized
- 3. Measure in the node basis

Complete graph:

$$A_{ij} = 1$$

Same as analog Grover

$$H = -|w\rangle \langle w| - |s\rangle \langle s|$$
$$= -|w\rangle \langle w| - \frac{1}{n}A$$

Optimal!
$$T = \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n})$$

Hypercube:

Square Lattices:

 $d \leq 3$

Fails

$$T = O(\sqrt{n}\log^{3/2} n)$$

d > 4

d = 4

Optimal! $T = \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n})$



Optimal! $T = \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n})$

Sufficient condition for the optimality of \mathcal{CG} algorithm

Assume:

Eigenvalues of H_G:

$$\lambda_n = 1 > \lambda_{n-1} = 1 - \Delta \ge \ldots \ge \lambda_1 \ge 0$$

State transitive graph

Theorem

Let

$$r = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \neq n} \frac{1}{1 - \lambda_i} \qquad \qquad \nu = \frac{r}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \neq n} \frac{1}{(1 - \lambda_i)^2}}}$$

If $\Delta \gg \frac{\nu}{r\sqrt{n}}$, then for $T = \Theta\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\nu}\right)$, the *CG* algorithm prepares a state $|\psi_f\rangle$ such that $|\langle \mathbf{w} | \psi_f \rangle| = \Theta(\nu)$. By repetition, one can find the marked node in total time $T_{search} = \Theta\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\nu^3}\right)$

Discussion

Theorem

If $\Delta \gg \frac{\nu}{r\sqrt{n}}$, then for $T = \Theta\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\nu}\right)$, the *CG* algorithm prepares a state $|\psi_f\rangle$ such that $|\langle w|\psi_f\rangle| = \Theta(\nu)$. By repetition, one can find the marked node in total time $T_{search} = \Theta\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\nu^3}\right)$

Discussion

- If $\Delta \gg \frac{\nu}{r\sqrt{n}}$, the algorithm is optimal for any *P* such that $\nu = \Theta(1)$
- When $\Delta = \Theta(1)$, we have $\nu = \Theta(1)$

[Chakraborty, Novo, Ambainis and Omar 2016]

- ν can be $\Theta(1)$ even when $\Delta \neq \Theta(1)$
 - For *d*-dimensional lattices with *d* > 4, Δ = Θ(n^{-2/d}) but ν = Θ(1).
 - At d = 4, $\nu = \Theta(1/\sqrt{\log n}) \implies T_{search} = \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n}\log^{3/2} n)$.

[Childs and Goldstone 2004]

Drawbacks of the $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{G}$ algorithm

Theorem

If $\Delta \gg \frac{\nu}{r\sqrt{n}}$, then for $T = \Theta\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\nu}\right)$, the *CG* algorithm prepares a state $|\psi_t\rangle$ such that $|\langle \mathbf{w} | \psi_t \rangle| = \Theta(\nu)$.

By repetition, one can find the marked node in total time $T_{search} = \Theta\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\nu^3}\right)$

Drawbacks

- The condition $\Delta \gg \frac{\nu}{r\sqrt{n}}$ needs to be satisfied.
 - Does not hold for lattices of dimension less than four.
- We show that $\Omega(\sqrt{\Delta}) < \nu < 1$.
 - ► $HT(P, \{w\}) \leq \frac{n}{\Delta}$. So when $\nu = \sqrt{\Delta} \ll 1$, $T_{search} = \Omega\left(\frac{\sqrt{HT(P, \{w\})}}{\Delta}\right)$

 \Rightarrow No quadratic speed-up!

Example: Movement of rook on a rectangular chessboard

Jérémie Roland (ULB)

Outline

Introduction

- Spatial search by random walk
- Spatial search by quantum walk

Our contributions

- Overview
- Childs-Goldstone algorithm

Modified Childs-Goldstone algorithm

New algorithm using interpolated Markov Chains

3 Conclusion

Questions

How to make quantum walks faster?

Use coins!

- DTQW [Ambainis, Kempe and Rivosh 2004]
- CTQW [Childs and Goldstone 2004]

How to introduce coins for general graphs?

Walk on edges of the graph rather than nodes!

- DTQW [Szegedy 2004]
- CTQW [Somma and Ortiz 2010]

Somma-Ortiz Hamiltonian

• Hilbert space: spanned by the edges of the graph $|x, y\rangle$

$$|x^{E}
angle = \sum_{y} \sqrt{
ho_{xy}} |x, y
angle$$

Consider unitary S and projector Π^E such that

$$S|x,y\rangle = |y,x\rangle$$
 $\Pi^{E} = \sum_{x} |x^{E}\rangle \langle x^{E}|$

The Somma-Ortiz Hamiltonian is defined as

$$H_P = i[S, \Pi^E]$$

- Properties of H_P
 - Eigenstates and eigenvalues related to those of P
 - Spectral gap is Θ(√Δ)
- Applications
 - Gibbs Sampling
 - Spatial search by adiabatic evolution

[Somma and Ortiz 2010] [Krovi, Ozols and R. 2010]

Oracle

Problem		
Using		
$H = H_{oracle} + rH_P$	with	$H_{oracle}=\ket{w^{E}}ra{w^{E}}$
requires to take $r = 0$		\Rightarrow does not work!

Alternative oracle

We set

$$H = H_{oracle} + H_P$$
 with $H_{oracle} = -\ket{w^E} \langle w^E \ket{H_P - H_P} \ket{w^E} \langle w^E \ket{W^E} \ket{W^E} | H_P - H_P \ket{w^E} \langle w^E \ket{W^E} \ket{W^E} | H_P - H_P \ket{w^E} \langle w^E \ket{W^E} | H_P - H_P \ket{w^E} \langle w^E \ket{W^E} | H_P - H_P \ket{w^E} \langle w^E \ket{W^E} | H_P - H_P | H_P + H_P | H_P | H_P + H_P | H_P | H_P + H_P | H_P$

Previously used for

- Spatial search on crystal lattices
- Spatial search on graphene lattices

[Childs and Ge 2014] [Foulger et al. 2014] Setup

• $H_P = i[S, \Pi^E]$, Somma-Ortiz Hamiltonian for Markov chain P

• Hilbert space: spanned by the edges of the graph $\{|x, y\rangle\}$

• Node $|w\rangle$ marked by $H_{oracle} = -|w^E\rangle \langle w^E|H_P - H_P|w^E\rangle \langle w^E|$

\mathcal{CG}' algorithm

- 1. Prepare the state $|\psi_0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{x} |x^E\rangle$
- 2. Evolve according to Hamiltonian $H = H_{oracle} + H_P$ for time Twhere T will be specified on the next slide
- 3. Measure in the node basis

Modified CG algorithm (CG')

Assume:

• Eigenvalues of P:

$$\lambda_n = 1 > \lambda_{n-1} = 1 - \Delta \ge \ldots \ge \lambda_1 \ge 0$$

State transitive graph

Theorem

Let

$$\mu = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \neq n} \frac{1}{\left(1 - \lambda_i\right)^2}} \qquad \qquad |\widetilde{w}\rangle = \frac{H_P |w^E\rangle}{||H_P |w^E\rangle||}$$

If $\Delta \gg \frac{1}{n\mu^2}$, then for $T = \Theta(\mu\sqrt{n})$, the CG' algorithm prepares a state $|\psi_f\rangle$ such that $|\langle \widetilde{w} | \psi_f \rangle| = \Omega(1/\mu)$. Moreover, $e^{-it'H_{oracle}} |\widetilde{w}\rangle = |w^E\rangle$, for $t' = O(\mu)$. By repetition, one can find the marked node in total time $T_{search} = \Theta(\mu^3\sqrt{n})$

Discussion

Theorem

Let

$$\mu = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \neq n} \frac{1}{\left(1 - \lambda_i\right)^2}} \qquad \qquad |\widetilde{w}\rangle = \frac{H_P |w^E\rangle}{||H_P |w^E\rangle||}$$

If $\Delta \gg \frac{1}{n\mu^2}$, then for $T = \Theta(\mu\sqrt{n})$, the CC' algorithm prepares a state $|\psi_f\rangle$ such that $|\langle \widetilde{w} | \psi_f \rangle| = \Omega(1/\mu)$. Moreover, $e^{-it'H_{oracle}} |\widetilde{w}\rangle = |w^E\rangle$, for $t' = O(\mu)$. By repetition, one can find the marked node in total time $T_{search} = \Theta(\mu^3\sqrt{n})$

Discussion

- Whenever CG algorithm is optimal, so is CG'.
- CG' also works for lattices down to d = 2
 - For d = 2, we get $T_{search} = \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n} \log^{3/2} n)$

Outline

Introduction

- Spatial search by random walk
- Spatial search by quantum walk

Our contributions

- Overview
- Childs-Goldstone algorithm
- Modified Childs-Goldstone algorithm
- New algorithm using interpolated Markov Chains

3 Conclusion

- We use the Somma-Ortiz Hamiltonian as for \mathcal{CG}'
- Rather than marking a node by adding a oracle Hamiltonian
- We modify the classical random walk directly

Trick

Interpolated Markov Chain

Interpolated Markov Chain

Let $U = X \setminus M$.



 $\pi = (\pi_U \ \pi_M)$



 $\pi' \propto$ (0 π_M) (*P'* is not ergodic)

Interpolated Markov Chains

$$P(s) = (1 - s)P + sP', \ s \in [0, 1]$$

For $0 \le s < 1$, stationary state:

$$\pi(s) \propto ((1-s)\pi_U \pi_M)$$

Somma-Ortiz Hamiltonian

Interpolated Markov Chains

$$P(s) = (1-s)P + sP', \ s \in [0,1]$$

For $0 \le s < 1$, stationary state:

 $\pi(s) \propto ((1-s)\pi_U \pi_M)$

Properties of the Somma-Ortiz Hamiltonian $H_{P(s)}$ built on P(s)

- Spectral gap: $\Theta(\sqrt{\Delta(s)})$
- Eigenstate with eigenvalue 0: $|v_n(s)\rangle = \sum_x \sqrt{\pi_x(s)} |x^E\rangle$

• For $s^* = 1 - \frac{p_M}{1 - p_M}$ with $p_M = \sum_{x \in M} \pi_x$, $|v_n(s^*)\rangle = \frac{|U\rangle + |M\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$

• where $|U\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-p_M}} \sum_{x \notin M} \sqrt{\pi_x} |x^E\rangle$ and $|M\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_M}} \sum_{x \in M} \sqrt{\pi_x} |x^E\rangle$

Observation

- $|v_n(s^*)\rangle$ has a constant overlap with $|M\rangle$
- So all we need to do is prepare $|v_n(s^*)\rangle$ and measure.

New algorithm

- 1. Prepare the state $|v_n(0)\rangle = \sum_x \sqrt{\pi_x} |x^E\rangle$.
- 2. Evolve according to Hamiltonian $H_{P(s^*)}$ for a time chosen uniformly at random between [0, *T*], where

$$T = \Theta(\sqrt{HT^+(P, M)})$$

$$s^* = 1 - \frac{p_M}{1 - p_M}$$

3. Measure in the node basis

New algorithm

- 1. Prepare the state $|v_n(0)\rangle = \sum_x \sqrt{\pi_x} |x^E\rangle$.
- 2. Evolve according to Hamiltonian $H_{P(s^*)}$ for a time chosen uniformly at random between [0, *T*], where

$$T = \Theta(\sqrt{HT^+(P, M)})$$
$$s^* = 1 - \frac{p_M}{1 - p_M}$$

3. Measure in the node basis

Proof idea: Quantum phase randomization [Boixo, Knill and Somma 2009]

- Decoherence in the eigenbasis of $H_{P(s^*)}$ kills coherence terms between $|v_n(s^*)\rangle$ and its orthogonal eigenstates.
- We obtain a mixed state between $|v_n(s^*)\rangle$ and the rest.

There are other ways to prepare $|v_n(s^*)\rangle$. (Current work).

- Provided general conditions for the optimality of the spatial search algorithm by Childs and Goldstone
- Modified Childs and Goldstone algorithm
 - Applicable to any ergodic, reversible Markov chain
 - Improved running time
- Spatial search algorithm by CTQW that runs in $\Theta(\sqrt{HT^+(P, M)})$ time for any ergodic, reversible Markov chain.
- Together, these three algorithms subsume or improve on most (all?) spatial search algorithms by CTQW in the literature

Open questions:

Extended hitting time vs hitting time for CTQW

Current work:

CTQW for preparing the stationary state of an ergodic, reversible Markov chain.

Thank you for your attention!

For more details see:

S. Chakraborty, L. Novo and J. Roland, Finding a marked node on any graph by continuous time quantum walk, arXiv:1807.05957.