## Quantum query complexity: Adversaries, polynomials and direct product theorems

#### Jérémie Roland

Université Libre de Bruxelles

NEC Laboratories America





Based on joint work with

#### Andris Ambainis Loïck Magnin

Troy Lee Martin Rötteler

[AMRR, CCC'11, arxiv:1012.2112] [LeeR, CCC'12, arxiv:1104.4468]

IQC - PI, April 2012

1

## Introduction

## Introduction

- st Query complexity: Compute f(x) given black-box access to  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$
- st Different lower bound methods for  $Q_arepsilon(f)$  :
  - Adversary methods:
    - Idea: bound the change in a progress function for each query
    - Different variations: additive, negative weights, multiplicative
  - Polynomial method:
    - Idea: bound the degree of polynomials approximating the function

### Question I

\* The different methods have different advantages:

- Additive adversary with negative weights:
  - Tight for bounded error
- Multiplicative adversary and polynomial:
  - Better bounds for low success probability
- O Bounds for specific problems

```
Question I
Is there a method that combines all
advantages?
```

## Question II

\* Suppose we want to evaluate f on k different inputs  $x^{(1)},\ldots,x^{(k)}$ 

Question II Can we do much better than just applying k times the algorithm for f?

 $\ast$  If not :"Strong direct product theorem" (SDPT) for f

\* Success p for 1 application  $\Rightarrow$  success  $p^k$  for k applications

- Requires to prove lower bound for exponentially small success probability
- \* SDPTs known for:
  - Classical query complexity [Drucker'II], one-way classical communication [Jain'I0], parallel repetition theorem for games [Raz'98]

## A brief history of Lower bound methods



## Polynomial method



# Generalized adversary method







### Our results



## Techniques

## Quantum state generation

- Set of quantum states  $\{\ket{\psi_x}:x\in\mathcal{D}^n\}$
- Oracle  $O_x: |i
  angle |b
  angle \mapsto |i
  angle |b\oplus x_i
  angle$
- Goal: Generate  $\ket{\psi_x}$  given black-box access to  $O_x$
- Observation: Problem only depends on Gram matrix

$$M_{xy} = \langle \psi_x | \psi_y 
angle$$

Quantum query complexity  $Q_{\varepsilon}(M)$ Minimum # calls to  $O_x$  necessary to generate a state  $\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}|\psi_x\rangle|\bar{0}\rangle + \sqrt{\varepsilon}|\mathrm{error}_x\rangle$ work space



## Output conditions



## Multiplicative >= Additive



Additive adversary  
[HøyerLeeŠpalek07]  
\* Progress function: 
$$\mathcal{W}[M^{t}] = \operatorname{Tr}[(\Gamma \circ M^{t})vv^{*}]$$
  
\* Initial value:  $\mathcal{W}[J] = \operatorname{Tr}[\Gamma vv^{*}]$  Adversary  
matrix  
\* Additive change for one query:  
 $\|\Gamma \circ (J - \Delta_{i})\| \leq 1 \Rightarrow |\mathcal{W}[M^{t+1}] - \mathcal{W}[M^{t}]| \leq 1$   
\* Final value after T queries:  $|\mathcal{W}[M^{T}] - \mathcal{W}[M^{0}]| \leq T$   
Additive adversary bound  
 $\operatorname{ADV}_{0}^{\pm}(M) = \max_{\Gamma} \|\Gamma \circ (J - M)\|$   
subject to  $\|\Gamma \circ (J - \Delta_{i})\| \leq 1 \quad \forall i$ 

Multiplicative adversary [Špalek08]  
\* Progress function: 
$$W[M^t] = \text{Tr}[(\Gamma_m \circ M^t)vv^*]$$
  
\* Initial value:  $W[J] = \text{Tr}[\Gamma_m vv^*]$   
Adversary  
matrix  
\* Multiplicative change for one query:  
 $c^{-1} \cdot \Gamma \preceq \Gamma \circ \Delta_i \preceq c \cdot \Gamma \Rightarrow W[M^{t+1}] \le c \cdot W[M^t]$   
\* Maximum value after T queries:  $W[M^T] \le c^T \cdot W[J]$   
Multiplicative adversary bound  
MADV\_0^c(M) =  $\frac{1}{\log c} \max_{\Gamma_m \succeq 0} \log \frac{\text{Tr}[(\Gamma_m \circ M)vv^*]}{\text{Tr}[\Gamma_m vv^*]}$   
subject to  $c^{-1} \cdot \Gamma \preceq \Gamma \circ \Delta_i \preceq c \cdot \Gamma \quad \forall i$ 

Multiplicative >= Additive

# $\lim_{c \to 1} \operatorname{MADV}^c(M) \ge \operatorname{ADV}^{\pm}(M)$

Proof idea:

- \* Use the adversary matrix:  $\Gamma_m = I + \gamma \cdot (\|\Gamma\| I \Gamma)$
- # Show that it satisfies the conditions for  $c = 1 + \gamma$
- $\ast$  Show the we get the same bound for  $\gamma \rightarrow 0$

## Multiplicative >= Polynomial



# Polynomial method [BBCMdW97]

# Let  $f: \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$  be a Boolean function

\* Approximate degree:

 $\widetilde{\deg}_{\varepsilon}(f) = \min_{p} \{ \deg(p) : \forall x \in \{0,1\}^n, |f(x) - p(x)| \le \varepsilon \}$  Polynomial method  $Q_{\varepsilon}(f) \ge \frac{\widetilde{\deg}_{\varepsilon}(f)}{2}$ 

• Proof idea:

After t queries,  $|\psi_x^t\rangle = \sum_k \alpha_k^t(x)|k\rangle$ where  $\alpha_k^t(x)$  are polynomials of degree at most k

## New adversary method

- Let us partition the Hilbert space into subspaces  $(S_k: 0 \le k \le K)$  such that:
  - I. Initialization:  $Tr(\Pi_{S_0}J) = Tr(J)$
  - 2. Change due to 1 query:  $\Pi_{S_{k'}}(\Pi_{S_k} \circ \Delta_i)\Pi_{S_{k''}} = 0$  if |k - k'| > 1 or |k - k''| > 1
  - Therefore:  $\operatorname{Tr}(\Pi_{S_k}M^t) = 0 \; \forall k > t$

Max-adversary method
$$ADV^{\max}(M) = \max_{(S_k),k_0} \{k_0 : Tr(\Pi_{S_{k_0}}M) \neq 0\}$$

Multiplicative >= Max

# $\lim_{c \to \infty} \operatorname{MADV}^c(M) \geq \operatorname{ADV}^{\max}(M)$

Proof idea:

- \* Use the adversary matrix:  $\Gamma_m = \sum_k \lambda^k \Pi_{S_k}$
- \* Show that it satisfies the conditions for  $c = 3\lambda$
- $\ast$  Show the we get the same bound for  $\lambda \to \infty$

## Max >= Polynomial

- \* Let  $\Phi$  be the Gram matrix for computing f in the phase, i.e., for generating  $(-1)^{f(x)}|\bar{0}\rangle$



Proof idea:

- ullet We use the Fourier basis:  $|\chi_w
  angle = rac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x\in\{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{w\cdot x} |x
  angle$
- Subspaces are defined as  $S_k = \text{Span}\{|\chi_w\rangle : |w| = k\}$
- ullet We show that if  $\widetilde{\deg}_arepsilon(f)\geq t$  , every Gram matrix M

arepsilon-approximating  $\Phi$  has overlap on some  $|\chi_w
angle$  with  $|w|\geq t$ 

# Strong direct product theorem

#### SDPT

Let 
$$f^{(k)}(x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(k)}) = (f(x^{(1)}), \dots, f(x^{(k)}))$$



★ Use optimality of ADV<sup>±</sup>: Q<sub>1/4</sub>(f) ≤ C · ADV<sup>±</sup><sub>0</sub>(F) [LMRŠS11]
★ Use MADV<sup>c</sup><sub>0</sub>(F) ≥  $\frac{ADV^{\pm}_{0}(F)}{2}$  for  $c = 1 + \frac{1}{ADV^{\pm}_{0}(F)}$ ★ Using adversary matrix Γ<sup>⊗k</sup><sub>m</sub>, we have:
MADV<sup>c</sup><sub>0</sub>(F<sup>⊗k</sup>) ≥ k · MADV<sup>c</sup><sub>0</sub>(F)

\* Almost there... but this is for zero error!

#### SDPT

$$Theorem \ Q_{1-\delta^{k/2}}(f^{(k)}) \geq rac{k \cdot \ln(3\delta/2)}{C} \cdot Q_{1/4}(f)$$

#### Proof idea (continued): $MADV_0^c(F^{\otimes k}) \ge k \cdot MADV_0^c(F)$

 $* We have MADV_{\varepsilon}^{c}(F^{\otimes k}) = \min_{M} MADV_{0}^{c}(M)$ subject to  $\mathcal{F}_{H}(F^{\otimes k}, M) \geq \sqrt{1-\varepsilon}$ 

★ We show that if *F<sub>H</sub>*(*F*<sup>⊗k</sup>, *M*) ≥ δ<sup>k/2</sup>,
★ then Tr[(Γ<sup>⊗k</sup><sub>m</sub> ∘ *M*)(vv<sup>\*</sup>)<sup>⊗k</sup>] ≥ (3δ/2)<sup>k</sup> · Tr[(Γ<sub>m</sub> ∘ *F*)vv<sup>\*</sup>]<sup>k</sup>
★ Therefore: MADV<sup>c</sup><sub>0</sub>(*M*) ≥ k · ln(3δ/2) · MADV<sup>c</sup><sub>0</sub>(*F*)

#### Conclusion

## Conclusion and future work

- \* Multiplicative adversary  $MADV^{c}(f)$  generalizes all known methods:
  - O Additive adversary  $\mathrm{ADV}^{\pm}(f)$  for  $c \to 1$
  - O Polynomial method  $\widetilde{\deg}_{\varepsilon}(f)$  for  $c \to \infty$
- ★ Polynomial method ≈ fixed adversary matrix (independent of f) ⇒ insight for its limitations
- \* General SDPT for any function
- \* XOR lemma for Boolean functions
- \* Other applications? (new lower bounds, timespace tradeoffs,...)

