Estimating capacities and rates of Gaussian quantum channels

Stefano Mancini School of Science University of Camerino, Italy



Motivations

- Most of the performed studies e.g. on classical capacity concern simple settings (memoryless and vacuum environment)
- No general methods available for evaluating, e.g. classical capacity
- Rates usually derived in a different way with respect to capacity
- Consider lossy bosonic channel as a paradigm of Gaussian channels
- Introduce a generic model for multiple channel uses and devise a method to evaluate the Holevo function (turns out to be useful for classical capacity as well as for dyne rates)
- Maximization problem can be split it into "inner" one and "outer" one

based on Pilyavets, Lupo & Mancini, arXiv0907.1532 (provisionally accepted by IT Trans)

Outline

- Gaussian channels
 - Lossy bosonic channel
- Classical capacity and rates
- Single channel use (bosonic mode)
 - The "inner" optimization problem
 - Solution
 - Its properties (critical parameters)
- Multiple channel uses (bosonic modes)
 - The "outer" optimization problem
 - Solution
 - Its properties (and applications)
- Conclusions and outlook

Gaussian channels

They map Gaussian states into Gaussian states; for single use:

$$\{a, V\} \mapsto \{X^T a + d, X^T V X + Y\}$$

Channel defined by the triad: (d, X, Y)

For *n* uses channel defined by a triad:

 $(d_n, X_n, Y_n) = \begin{cases} = (\oplus^n d, \oplus^n X, \oplus^n Y) \text{ memoryless} \\ \neq (\oplus^n d, \oplus^n X, \oplus^n Y) \text{ memory} \end{cases}$

The lossy channel

$$X = \sqrt{\eta}I, \quad Y = (1 - \eta)V_{\text{env}}$$



The eigenvalues of the various matrices will be denoted by $(e_u, i_u, \overline{i}_u, m_u, o_u, \overline{o}_u)$

Classical capacity and rates

$$C_n := \frac{1}{n} \max_{V_{\text{in}}, V_{\text{mod}}} \chi_n^G$$

$$\chi_n^G := \sum_{k=1}^n \left[g\left(\overline{\mathfrak{o}}_k - \frac{1}{2}\right) - g\left(\mathfrak{o}_k - \frac{1}{2}\right) \right]$$
$$g(x) := (x+1)\log(x+1) - x\log x$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{Tr}\overline{V}_{\mathrm{in}}}{2n} \leq \overline{N}_{\mathrm{in}} + \frac{1}{2}$$

To the logarithmic approximation of g

$$C^{\log} = \frac{1}{n} \max_{V_{\text{in}}, V_{\text{mod}}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \log \frac{\overline{\mathfrak{o}}_{k}}{\mathfrak{o}_{k}}$$
$$R_{n}^{\text{hom}} = C_{n}^{\log}$$
$$R_{n}^{\text{het}} = C_{n}^{\log}[V_{\text{env}} \to V_{\text{env}}^{\text{het}}]$$

Single channel use

Theorem

The max of Holevo function over Gaussian states is achieved for V_{in} , V_{mod} , V_{env} simultaneously diagonalizable and the optimal V_{in} corresponds to a pure state

Corollary

If V_{in} , V_{mod} , V_{env} are simultaneously diagonalizable, the maximum of dyne rates is achieved by input pure states

Covariance matrices parametrized as

$$V = \left(\mathcal{N} + \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\begin{array}{cc} e^s & 0\\ 0 & e^{-s} \end{array}\right)$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{Tr}V}{2} \le N + \frac{1}{2}$$

The "inner" optimization problem

Maximize χ_1^G

With

$$i_u > 0$$
 $(i_{u_{\star}} = 1/(4i_u))$
 $m_u, m_{u_{\star}} \ge 0$
 $i_u + \frac{1}{4i_u} + m_u + m_{u_{\star}} = 2\overline{N}_{in} +$

Definition

Solution belongs to the 1st stage if m_u , $m_{u^*}=0$ are optimal Solution belongs to the 2nd stage if only $m_u = 0$ (or m_{u^*}) is optimal Solution belongs to the 3rd stage if m_u , $m_{u^*}>0$ are optimal

Remark

Stages are crossed (from 1st to 3rd) by increasing the input energy

1st stage capacity equal to zero

 $\overline{N}_{\rm in}(1 \to 2) = \overline{0}$

2nd stage solution for i_u of the transcendent equation $\overline{\mathfrak{o}}g'\left(\overline{\mathfrak{o}}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{o_u}-\frac{1}{\overline{o}_{u_\star}}\right)-\mathfrak{o}g'\left(\mathfrak{o}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{o_u}-\frac{1}{4i_u^2o_{u_\star}}\right)=0$

$$\overline{N}_{\rm in}(2 \to 3) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{\frac{e_u}{e_{u_\star}}} - 1 \right) - \frac{1-\eta}{\eta} \left(N_{\rm env} - e_u + \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

3rd stage

$$C_1 = g\left(\eta \overline{N}_{\rm in} + (1-\eta)N_{\rm env}\right) - g\left((1-\eta)\mathcal{N}_{\rm env}\right)$$

Properties of the solution

Theorem: C_1 is a concave and increasing function of \overline{N}_{in}

The one-shot capacity for fixed e_u , e_{u^*} , η can be considered as a black-box returning C_1 upon inputting \overline{N}_{in} , while preserving the concavity

$$\overline{N}_{in} \longrightarrow \left[C_1 = C_1 \left(\overline{N}_{in} \right) \right] \longrightarrow C_1$$

*Corollary: C*¹ is additive

Theorem: C_1 is a monotonic function of all its parameters $(\eta, \overline{N}_{in}, s_{env}, \mathcal{N}_{env})$ except s_{env}

Regimes



Critical parameters at boundaries of regimes, e.g. $\eta_{\star} = 1$ -

 $\overline{3}$

Domains



In the domain 1: $\tilde{\eta} < \overline{\eta} < \eta_0 < \eta_*$ In the domain 2: $\tilde{\eta} < \overline{\eta} < \eta_* < \eta_0$ In the domain 3: $\nexists \tilde{\eta}, \overline{\eta}$ Critical parameters at boundaries of domains, e.g. $\overline{N}_{in}^{\star} = \sqrt{\frac{3\sqrt{3}+5}{8\sqrt{3}}} - \frac{1}{2}$

Multiple channel uses



Different single channel uses come from *memory unravelling* Lupo & Mancini, PRA 81, 052314 (2010)

The action of E could be reduced to that of $E_1, E_1, ..., E_n$ by finding suitable Gaussian encoding/decoding unitaries

 $(0, E_n, 0), \ (0, D_n, 0) \mid D_n X_n E_n = \bigoplus_{k=1}^n X^{(k)}; \ D_n Y_n D_n^T = \bigoplus_{k=1}^n Y^{(k)}; \ E_n^T E_n = I_n$

Always possible for E pure, or thermal squeezed!

The "outer" optimization problem To maximize χ_n^G it now suffices to consider:

$$\overline{N}_{\text{in},1} \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} C_1^{(1)} = C_1^{(1)} \left(\overline{N}_{\text{in},1} \right) \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow C_1^{(1)}$$
$$\overline{N}_{\text{in},2} \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} C_1^{(2)} = C_1^{(2)} \left(\overline{N}_{\text{in},2} \right) \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow C_1^{(2)}$$

$$\overline{N}_{\mathrm{in},n} \longrightarrow \boxed{C_1^{(n)} = C_1^{(n)} \left(\overline{N}_{\mathrm{in},n}\right)} \longrightarrow C_1^{(n)}$$

Find the distribution of $\overline{N}_{in,k}$ $\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \overline{N}_{in,k} = n\overline{N}_{in}\right)$ giving the maximum of $\sum_{k=1}^{n} C_1^{(k)}$

This "outer" optimization problem can be interpreted as the search for the optimal distribution of modes across stages

Algorithm

Due to the properties of C_I it's possible to def. $\lambda_{\max} := \max_k \frac{\partial C_1^{(k)}}{\partial \overline{N}_{\text{in},k}} (\overline{N}_{\text{in},k} = 0) < +\infty$

$$\lambda_{1\to 2}(k) = \frac{\partial C_1^{(k)}}{\partial \overline{N}_{\mathrm{in},k}} \left(\overline{N}_{\mathrm{in},k} (1 \to 2) \right); \lambda_{2\to 3}(k) = \frac{\partial C_1^{(k)}}{\partial \overline{N}_{\mathrm{in},k}} \left(\overline{N}_{\mathrm{in},k} (2 \to 3) \right)$$



Look for
$$\overline{N}_{\text{in},k} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} \overline{N}_{\text{in},k} = n \overline{N}_{\text{in},k} \right|$$

Convex separable programming guarantees uniqueness and optimality of the solution together with convergence of the algorithm

n

In the stage 1: $\overline{N}_{in,k} = 0$

In the stage 2: $\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\text{out},k} = \frac{1}{e^{\omega_k/T} - 1}$

 $\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\text{out},k} = \overline{\mathfrak{o}}_k - 1/2, \quad \omega_k = \overline{\mathfrak{o}}_k/\overline{\mathfrak{o}}_{u,k}, \quad T = \eta/\lambda$ $\overline{\mathfrak{o}}_k, \, \overline{\mathfrak{o}}_{u,k} \text{ can be expressed by means of } \overline{N}_{\text{in},k}$ upon solving the "inner" problem

In the stage 3: $\overline{N}_{\text{in},k} = \frac{1}{\eta} \left[\frac{1}{e^{\lambda/\eta} - 1} - (1 - \eta) N_{\text{env},k} \right]$

If all modes belong to the 3rd stage $C_n = g \left(\eta \overline{N}_{\text{in}} + (1 - \eta) N_{\text{env}} \right) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n g \left((1 - \eta) \mathcal{N}_{\text{env},k} \right)$

Quantum water filling

$$V_{\text{env}} = \left(\mathcal{N}_{\text{env}} + \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\begin{array}{cc} e^{\Omega s_{\text{env}}} & 0\\ 0 & e^{-\Omega s_{\text{env}}} \end{array}\right)$$
$$\Omega_{i,j} = \delta_{i,j+1} + \delta_{i,j-1}$$



Super-additivity



For a fixed N_{env} , sufficient condition to have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} C_{1}^{(k)} < nC_{1} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} \overline{N}_{\text{in},k} = n\overline{N}_{\text{in}} \right|$$

is $\eta < \eta_{\star}$, $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \overline{N}_{\text{in},k} > n\overline{N}_{\text{in}}^{\star}$

$$V_{\text{env}} = \left(\mathcal{N}_{\text{env}} + \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\begin{array}{cc} e^{\Omega s_{\text{env}}} & 0\\ 0 & e^{-\Omega s_{\text{env}}} \end{array}\right)$$
$$\Omega_{i,j} = \delta_{i,j+1} + \delta_{i,j-1}$$



Conclusions and outlook

- Optimization methods for capacity and rates
- Full characterization of the single-mode lossy channel
- Concavity (and then additivity) of the one-shot capacity
- Full characterization of the multiple use lossy channel
- Superadditivity for memory channel related to critical parameters
- Application to other Gaussian channels [additive noise, J. Schafer et al. arXiv1011.4118]
- Application to other capacities
- Open questions: optimality of Gaussian input states; coding theorems for generic memory channels