Cloning the entanglement of a pair of quantum bits
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It is shown that any quantum operation that perfectly clones the entanglement of all maximally entangled qubit pairs cannot preserve separability. This “entanglement no-cloning” principle naturally suggests that some approximate cloning of entanglement is nevertheless allowed by quantum mechanics. We investigate a separability-preserving optimal cloning machine that duplicates all maximally entangled states of two qubits, resulting in 0.285 bits of entanglement per clone, while a local cloning machine only yields 0.060 bits of entanglement per clone.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.69.040301 PACS number(s): 03.67.Mn, 03.65.Ud

Quantum entanglement is known to be a resource that is central to many quantum information processes such as quantum teleportation, quantum cryptography, or quantum computing [1]. In view of this, much work has been devoted to defining measures of entanglement or to investigating the best information-theoretical use of entanglement. Despite the fact that entanglement is a very fragile resource, extremely sensitive to decoherence, several techniques have been developed in order to overcome decoherence, namely, quantum error correction or entanglement purification (see Ref. [2]). Out of these many studies of entanglement, none has so far addressed the issue of whether (and how well) entanglement can be cloned.

There has been a vast literature about the question of cloning quantum states. First of all, the no-cloning theorem has been stated [3], which precludes the perfect copying of an arbitrary quantum state. Then, imperfect quantum cloning machines (QCM) have been introduced, which duplicate an arbitrary qubit state with the highest possible fidelity [4]. Since then and more recently, a large variety of QCMs have been devised, with the purpose of cloning equally well an arbitrary qubit state with the highest possible fidelity [4].

In this paper, we raise the question of whether quantum entanglement itself can be cloned or not. In order to simplify our analysis, we restrict ourselves to qubit pairs (dimension $2 \times 2$). We show that the requirement of perfectly cloning the entanglement carried by a qubit pair in an arbitrary maximally entangled (ME) state is incompatible with the requirement that separable qubit pairs remain unentangled via cloning. Of course, if we restrict ourselves to four orthogonal ME states such as the Bell states

$$|\Phi^\pm\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle \pm |11\rangle), \quad |\Psi^\pm\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|01\rangle \pm |10\rangle),$$

then we can very well make a Bell measurement of the original pair and subsequently prepare an arbitrary number of clones in the measured ME state. However, this procedure does not work properly on the linear combinations of Bell states that are ME since the clones will then be in a mixture of Bell states. Even if the clones are not required to be close to the original ME two-qubit state but solely to be entangled, it remains impossible to fully preserve entanglement.

Here we show that it is nevertheless possible to clone part of the original entanglement, much in the same way quantum states can be cloned imperfectly. We define the optimal entanglement cloner as a machine that preserves separability while, for maximally entangled input states, it produces two qubit pairs with the same and highest amount of entanglement regardless of their actual state. We construct a QCM that is universal over the set of ME two-qubit states and argue that it affects an optimal cloning of entanglement. For this purpose, we exploit the property that the set of ME two-qubit states is isomorphic to the set of real states in four dimensions [2], from which we construct an optimal symmetric cloner that is covariant under local unitaries. Finally, we consider asymmetric QCMs and investigate how entanglement is distributed among the clones by these transformations.

Entanglement no-cloning principle. Entanglement cannot be cloned perfectly, that is, if a quantum operation can be found that perfectly duplicates the entanglement of all ME states, then it necessarily does not preserve separability (some separable states become entangled after cloning).

Proof. We restrict ourselves to two qubits and consider two orthogonal ME states, e.g., $|\Phi^\pm\rangle$. Assume that the entanglement of these states is perfectly cloned, i.e., the output states of the clones remain ME even though they may differ from the input state. The most general cloning transformation $U$ preserving the entanglement of these two states can be written as

$$|\Phi^\pm\rangle|A\rangle \rightarrow |e^\pm_a\rangle |e^\pm_b\rangle |A^\pm\rangle,$$ \hfill (2)

where $|A\rangle$ denotes the initial state of the ancilla and the blank copy, while $|A^\pm\rangle$ is the ancilla state after cloning. Thus, the states of the two clones $|e^\pm_a\rangle$ and $|e^\pm_b\rangle$ are some ME states. Now, the linear combination

$$|\Phi\rangle = (|\Phi^+\rangle + i|\Phi^-\rangle) / \sqrt{2} = (e^{i\pi/4}|00\rangle + e^{-i\pi/4}|11\rangle) / \sqrt{2}$$

is still a ME state. By linearity, the above transformation yields the following output state:
In order to preserve the full entanglement within each clone, a necessary condition is that either $|e_0^u\rangle = |e_0^s\rangle$ or $|e_1^u\rangle = |e_0^s\rangle$. However, in each of these two cases, at least one of the clones is left in a ME state that is independent of the input state (within the space spanned by $|\Phi^+\rangle$) regardless of it being separable or not. For example, in the first case, if the input is the separable state obtained as the linear combination $|s\rangle = (|\Phi^+\rangle + |\Phi^-\rangle)/\sqrt{2} = |00\rangle$, then the transformation gives

$$
|\Phi\rangle \rightarrow (|e_0^u\rangle |e_1^u\rangle |A^+\rangle + i|e_0^s\rangle |A^-\rangle)/\sqrt{2}. 
$$

(3)

Clearly, the separability is not preserved here since the first clone is maximally entangled. We therefore conclude that no perfect cloning of entanglement is possible.

As a consequence, only imperfect QCMs that approximately reproduce the entanglement while preserving separability can be implemented. In the rest of this paper, we will be interested in separability-preserving QCMs that yield clones with the highest achievable entanglement for all ME input states. As shown later on, finding these QCMs is strongly related to finding transformations that clone optimally and equally well the set of two-qubit ME states. Consider an arbitrary two-qubit pure state

$$
|\Phi\rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{3} n_i |e_i\rangle, \quad (5)
$$

written in the orthonormal basis made of the Bell states with particular phases (sometimes referred to as the magic basis [2]):

$$
|e_0\rangle = |\Phi^+\rangle, \quad |e_1\rangle = i|\Phi^-\rangle, \quad |e_2\rangle = i|\Psi^+\rangle, \quad |e_3\rangle = |\Psi^-\rangle, \quad (6)
$$

where the amplitudes $n_i$ are normalized as $\sum_{i=0}^{3} |n_i|^2 = 1$. In this basis, the entanglement of formation $E$ of the state $|\Phi\rangle$ can be expressed in a very simple way as

$$
E(C(\Phi)) = H\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1 - C(\Phi)^2}\right), \quad (7)
$$

where $H$ is the binary entropy function and

$$
C(\Phi) = |\sum_{i} n_i^2| \quad (8)
$$

is called the concurrence [2,7]. Clearly, any real linear combination (up to an irrelevant global phase) of the magic basis elements is a ME state since $C$ (and therefore $E$) is then equal to 1. Furthermore, every ME state can be expressed as a real linear combination of the magic basis elements. For this reason, the problem of cloning the set of ME two-qubit states boils down to constructing a transformation that optimally clones all real four-dimensional states in the magic basis.

This particular transformation can be found by following a method inspired from Ref. [8]. Our mathematical description of the cloning transformation is based on the isomorphism between quantum operations and states. Imagine that the qubit pair to be cloned is itself maximally entangled with a reference qubit pair. The most general joint state describing the reference $R$, the output states $a$ and $b$, and an ancilla $A$ after the cloning transformation reads

$$
|\mathcal{S}_{R,a,b,A}\rangle = \sum_{i,j,k=0}^{3} s_{ijk} l_i |\Phi^+_R\rangle l_j |\Phi^+_A\rangle l_k |\Phi^-_A\rangle. \quad (9)
$$

(The reference, the two clones, and the ancilla are all four-dimensional systems here.) This state serves to completely define the cloning transformation: the result of cloning the ME state $|\Phi\rangle = \sum_{i} n_i |e_i\rangle$ (with real $n_i$'s) is obtained by projecting $R$ onto the complex conjugate $|\Phi^+\rangle$ (which is equal to $|\Phi\rangle$ here) [8]. Thus, the most general cloning transformation is defined as

$$
|\mathcal{S}_{R,a,b,A}\rangle = \sum_{i,j,k=0}^{3} s_{ijk} n_i |\Phi^+_A\rangle l_j |\Phi^+_A\rangle l_k |\Phi^-_A\rangle. \quad (10)
$$

At this point, we impose the additional condition that the QCM is covariant under $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ in the computational basis (or, equivalently, under $SO(4)$ in the magic basis). This means that the QCM acts similarly in all bases connected by local unitaries to the computational basis. This restriction is natural since it guarantees that all states equivalent up to local unitaries (thereby having the same entanglement) result in equally entangled clones. A sufficient condition for covariance is [6]

$$
|\mathcal{S}_{R,a,b,A}\rangle = R^{oA} |\mathcal{S}_{R,a,b,A}\rangle, \quad (11)
$$

where $R$ is any real rotation matrix in $SO(4)$. This requirement implies that $s_{ijk}$ is an invariant tensor of rank 4, that is, $s_{ijk} = R_{ij} R_{jk} R_{ik} R_{kj} s_{ljk}$ for $l \neq i,j,k$. A main simplification here results from the fact that the generic form of such a tensor is

$$
s_{ijk} = A \delta_{ij} \delta_{jk} + B \delta_{ij} \delta_{ik} + C \delta_{jk} \delta_{ij}, \quad (12)
$$

with the normalization condition on Eq. (10) imposing that

$$
4(|A|^2 + |B|^2 + |C|^2) + 2 \text{Re}(AB^* + AC^* + BC^*) = 1. \quad (13)
$$

For a symmetric cloner, the permutation symmetry between the two clones imposes furthermore that $A = B$, so that we are left with a transformation depending on two parameters, $A$ and $C$. If we use the cloning fidelity as a figure of merit, Eqs. (10) and (12) result in

$$
F = \langle \Phi | \rho_{ab} | \Phi \rangle = 7|A|^2 + |C|^2 + 4 \text{Re}(AC^*), \quad (14)
$$

where $\rho_{ab}$ denotes the reduced density matrix of clone $a(b)$. This expression can be maximized under the normalization constraint Eq. (13), giving

$$
A = \left(\frac{1}{3} \left(2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{13}}\right)\right)^{1/2}, \quad C = A/\sqrt{(13 - 3)}, \quad (15)
$$

with the corresponding fidelity

$$
F = 7|A|^2 + |C|^2 + 4 \text{Re}(AC^*) \quad (16)
$$

for the symmetric cloner $s_{ijk}$. This expression cannot be maximized under the constraint $|C|^2 + |A|^2 + 4 \text{Re}(AC^*) = 1$, resulting in

$$
F = 7|A|^2 + |C|^2 + 4 \text{Re}(AC^*) \quad (17)
$$

for the asymmetric cloner $s_{ijk}$.
Assuming that displays the resulting fidelity equals 1/4. Other one is in a completely mixed state, i.e., when its fidelity is a linear trace-preserving completely positive CP map that can be represented by a positive semidefinite operator $S$ on the tensor-product space of the input and output states. The cloning fidelities can be expressed as $F_{a(b)} = \text{Tr}[SR_{a(b)}]$, with appropriately defined $R_{a(b)} \succeq 0$ [10]. The optimal asymmetric cloner can be obtained by maximizing $F=pF_{a}+(1-p)F_{b}$, where $p \in [0,1]$ is the asymmetry parameter. The resulting fidelities coincide with those obtained from Eq. (18) up to the machine precision.

Let us now investigate the entanglement properties of this cloning transformation and show that it is also optimal with respect to our original goal, namely, cloning the amount of entanglement. Let us start by checking that it preserves separability. For the ansatz (9), we have $S=\text{Tr}_{\delta}(|S\rangle\langle S|)$, and the CP map that describes the relationship between the input and the clone $a(b)$ can be characterized by $S_{a(b)}=\text{Tr}_{\delta(a)}[S] \succeq 0$. Since the positive partial transpose (PPT) criterion is a necessary and sufficient separability condition for a qubit pair, a sufficient condition for these two maps to preserve separability is that $S_{a}$ and $S_{b}$ represent PPT operations [11]. The map $S_{a(b)}$ is PPT if $s^{T}_{a(b)} \succeq 0$, where $T_{1,1}$ denotes partial transposition with respect to the first qubit of the original and the clone $a(b)$. An explicit analytical calculation shows that if $s_{ijkl}$ is an invariant rank-four tensor (12), then $s^{T}_{a(b)} = s_{a(b)} \succeq 0$, hence a covariant cloner necessarily preserves separability.

It is therefore natural to maximize the output entanglement for the other extreme case, namely, when the original qubit pair is maximally entangled. The amount of entanglement left in the clones will be measured here by the entanglement of formation $E$, which can be evaluated by using the extended definition of the concurrence $C$ for mixtures [7]. The entanglement of formation of an arbitrary two-qubit state $\rho$ is given by $E(\rho)=E(C(\rho))$, where $C(\rho) = \max(0,\lambda_{1} - \lambda_{2} - \lambda_{3} - \lambda_{4})$ and the $\lambda_{i}$’s are the eigenvalues, in decreasing order, of the Hermitian matrix $\tilde{\rho} = (\sqrt{\rho^*} \rho \sqrt{\rho^*})^{1/2}$. Here $\rho^*$ denotes the complex conjugate of $\rho$ when expressed in the magic basis [7]. For a generic covariant cloner, Eq. (12), the reduced density matrices of the clones of an input ME state $|\psi\rangle$ can always be written as

$$\rho_{a,b} = F_{a,b}|\psi\rangle\langle \psi| + \frac{1-F_{a,b}}{3} \sum_{j \neq i} |e_{j}\rangle\langle e_{j}|,$$

so the clones are left in a mixture of (generalized) Bell states, or more precisely in a Werner state. This is consistent with the fact that $\rho_{a,b}$ are real density matrices in the magic basis because the input state is real [7]. Hence, $\rho_{a,b} = \rho_{a,b}$ so the concurrence of the clones simply reduces to $C_{a,b} = \max(0, 2F_{a,b} - 1)$. Therefore, for a covariant cloner, maximizing $E$ reduces to maximizing $F$. Consequently, the cloner characterized by Eq. (15) is the entanglement cloner we were looking for, provided that covariance is taken for granted.

We have numerically confirmed the optimality of this class of asymmetric cloners with the use of a technique based on semidefinite programming [9,10]. The cloning transformation is a linear trace-preserving completely positive (CP) map that can be represented by a positive semidefinite operator $S$ on the tensor-product space of the input and output states. The cloning fidelities can be expressed as $F_{a(b)} = \text{Tr}[SR_{a(b)}]$, with appropriately defined $R_{a(b)} \succeq 0$ [10]. The optimal asymmetric cloner can be obtained by maximizing $F=pF_{a}+(1-p)F_{b}$, where $p \in [0,1]$ is the asymmetry parameter. The resulting fidelities coincide with those obtained from Eq. (18) up to the machine precision.

Let us now investigate the entanglement properties of this cloning transformation and show that it is also optimal with respect to our original goal, namely, cloning the amount of entanglement. Let us start by checking that it preserves separability. For the ansatz (9), we have $S=\text{Tr}_{\delta}(|S\rangle\langle S|)$, and the CP map that describes the relationship between the input and the clone $a(b)$ can be characterized by $S_{a(b)}=\text{Tr}_{\delta(a)}[S] \succeq 0$. Since the positive partial transpose (PPT) criterion is a necessary and sufficient separability condition for a qubit pair, a sufficient condition for these two maps to preserve separability is that $S_{a}$ and $S_{b}$ represent PPT operations [11]. The map $S_{a(b)}$ is PPT if $s^{T}_{a(b)} \succeq 0$, where $T_{1,1}$ denotes partial transposition with respect to the first qubit of the original and the clone $a(b)$. An explicit analytical calculation shows that if $s_{ijkl}$ is an invariant rank-four tensor (12), then $s^{T}_{a(b)} = s_{a(b)} \succeq 0$, hence a covariant cloner necessarily preserves separability.

It is therefore natural to maximize the output entanglement for the other extreme case, namely, when the original qubit pair is maximally entangled. The amount of entanglement left in the clones will be measured here by the entanglement of formation $E$, which can be evaluated by using the extended definition of the concurrence $C$ for mixtures [7]. The entanglement of formation of an arbitrary two-qubit state $\rho$ is given by $E(\rho)=E(C(\rho))$, where $C(\rho) = \max(0,\lambda_{1} - \lambda_{2} - \lambda_{3} - \lambda_{4})$ and the $\lambda_{i}$’s are the eigenvalues, in decreasing order, of the Hermitian matrix $\tilde{\rho} = (\sqrt{\rho^*} \rho \sqrt{\rho^*})^{1/2}$. Here $\rho^*$ denotes the complex conjugate of $\rho$ when expressed in the magic basis [7]. For a generic covariant cloner, Eq. (12), the reduced density matrices of the clones of an input ME state $|\psi\rangle$ can always be written as
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$$\rho_{a,b} = F_{a,b}|\psi\rangle\langle \psi| + \frac{1-F_{a,b}}{3} \sum_{j \neq i} |e_{j}\rangle\langle e_{j}|,$$

so the clones are left in a mixture of (generalized) Bell states, or more precisely in a Werner state. This is consistent with the fact that $\rho_{a,b}$ are real density matrices in the magic basis because the input state is real [7]. Hence, $\rho_{a,b} = \rho_{a,b}$ so the concurrence of the clones simply reduces to $C_{a,b} = \max(0, 2F_{a,b} - 1)$. Therefore, for a covariant cloner, maximizing $E$ reduces to maximizing $F$. Consequently, the cloner characterized by Eq. (15) is the entanglement cloner we were looking for, provided that covariance is taken for granted.
Note that the entanglement of formation of a clone is equal to 1 only when its fidelity is 1, thus confirming that a fully asymmetric cloner (a trivial cloner which outputs the original and a random clone) is the only solution if we want to fully conserve the original entanglement of 1 ebit. Finally, note that the sum of the entanglement of formation of the two clones (also shown in Fig. 1) never exceeds 1, meaning that the entanglement cloner does not create more entanglement than that contained in the original ME state.

For the symmetric cloner, the entanglement of formation of both clones is equal to \( E_a = E_b = 0.2847 \) bits. The optimality of this result has been verified using numerical optimization where the structure of the cloning transformation was based on the parametrization proposed in Ref. [8] and the maximized quantity was the concurrence instead of the fidelity. The optimization was carried out without imposing the covariance of the cloning machine. Up to irrelevant local unitaries (which decrease \( F \) while keeping \( C \) and \( E \) constant), we recovered the same cloning transformation. This strongly suggests that restricting ourselves to covariant QCMs is justified, so the cloner of ME states indeed optimally clones the amount of entanglement.

Finally, the properties of our entanglement cloner can be analyzed in the intermediate case of nonmaximally entangled input states. In Fig. 2, we plot the entanglement of formation of the clones as a function of that of the original state \( \alpha|00\rangle + \sqrt{1-\alpha^2}|11\rangle (0 \leq \alpha \leq 1) \) for the symmetric cloner. No entanglement is cloned below a critical value \( E_{\text{in}} = 0.161 \) bits. Then, the output entanglement increases monotonically to reach its maximum \( E_{\text{out}} = 0.2847 \) bits at \( E_{\text{in}} = 1 \) bit.

In conclusion, we have shown that the quantum entanglement of an unknown ME qubit pair cannot be perfectly cloned if, at the same time, product states are required to be cloned into unentangled qubit pairs. In other words, a separability-preserving QCM cannot perfectly duplicate the entanglement of the set of ME states. Only imperfect QCMs do exist. As a first step, we have constructed an optimal symmetric entanglement cloner which is universal over the set of ME states, and whose fidelity saturates the no-signaling upper bound [6]. This cloner yields imperfect clones with 0.285 ebits if the original qubit pair contains 1 ebit, while unentangled pairs are cloned into separable states. The distribution of entanglement among the clones has also been investigated using an asymmetric cloner. Similarly to the situation that prevails when cloning quantum states, this no-go theorem for entanglement cloning might be exploited in order to imagine new quantum key distribution schemes. For example, one could imagine a protocol where the eavesdropper is only able to apply a local cloning on a ME state instead of the above global cloning. One can check that applying the optimal universal qubit cloner [4] locally on each qubit of a ME state reduces the fidelity of the clones to \( 7/12 \). Since the reduced density matrix of the clones is again a Werner state, we obtain \( C = 1/6 \) leading to only about 0.060 ebits per clone. Thus, the fact that independent local operations on each qubit are less efficient than joint operations could be used to give an advantage to the authorized parties.
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