

# Ultimate classical communication rates of quantum optical channels

V. Giovannetti<sup>1</sup>, R. García-Patrón<sup>2,3</sup>, N. J. Cerf<sup>2</sup>, and A. S. Holevo<sup>4,5</sup>  
<sup>1</sup>*NEST, Scuola Normale Superiore and Istituto Nanoscienze-CNR, I-56127 Pisa, Italy*  
<sup>2</sup>*QuIC, Ecole Polytechnique de Bruxelles, CP 165, Université Libre de Bruxelles, 1050 Bruxelles, Belgium*  
<sup>3</sup>*Max-Planck Institut für Quantenoptik, Hans-Kopfermann Str. 1, D-85748 Garching, Germany*  
<sup>4</sup>*Steklov Mathematical Institute, RAS, Moscow*  
<sup>5</sup>*National Research University Higher School of Economics (HSE), 101000 Moscow, Russia*  
 (Dated: July 24, 2014)

## SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

### Four fundamental Gaussian channels

A compact way to represent bosonic Gaussian channels is obtained by expressing the density matrices  $\rho$  of the mode as  $\rho = (1/\pi) \int d^2z \chi(z) D(-z)$  where the integral is performed over all  $z$  complex and where  $D(z) = \exp[za^\dagger - z^*a]$  is the displacement operator of the system while  $\chi(z) = \text{Tr}[\rho D(z)]$  is the symmetrically ordered characteristic function of  $\rho$  [1]. Accordingly the maps  $\mathcal{E}_\eta^N$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_\kappa^N$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\kappa^N$  and  $\mathcal{N}_n$  can be assigned to the input-output mappings  $\chi(z) \rightarrow \chi'(\rho) = \text{Tr}[\Phi(\rho)D(z)]$  where [2, 3]

$$\chi'(z) = \begin{cases} \chi(\sqrt{\eta}z) e^{-(1-\eta)(N+1/2)|z|^2}, & (\Phi = \mathcal{E}_\eta^N) \\ \chi(z) e^{-n|z|^2}, & (\Phi = \mathcal{N}_n) \\ \chi(\sqrt{\kappa}z) e^{-(\kappa-1)(N+1/2)|z|^2}, & (\Phi = \mathcal{A}_\kappa^N) \\ \chi(-\sqrt{\kappa-1}z^*) e^{-\kappa(N+1/2)|z|^2}, & (\Phi = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\kappa^N), \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

with  $\eta \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\kappa \in [1, \infty[$  and  $N, n \in [0, \infty[$ .

### Gaussian Channel Decomposition

As shown in Ref. [4], a generic phase-covariant single-mode channel  $\Phi_\tau^y$  of loss/gain parameter  $\tau \geq 0$  and added noise  $y \geq |\tau - 1|$  can be expressed as the concatenation of a quantum-limited lossy channel followed by a quantum-limited amplifier  $\Phi = \mathcal{A}_{\kappa_0} \circ \mathcal{E}_{\eta_0}$  (see Figure 1 of the main text) with  $\tau = \eta_0 \kappa_0$  and  $y = \kappa_0(1 - \eta_0) + (\kappa_0 - 1)$ . Similarly for phase-contravariant single-mode channels, the decomposition reads  $\Phi_\tau^y = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\kappa_0} \circ \mathcal{E}_{\eta_0}$  where now  $\tau = \eta_0(1 - \kappa_0)$  and  $y = (\kappa_0 - 1)(1 - \eta_0) + \kappa_0$ . The explicit values of the parameter  $\eta_0$  and  $\kappa_0$  for the maps  $\mathcal{E}_\eta^N$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_\kappa^N$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\kappa^N$  and  $\mathcal{N}_n$  are [4, 5]

- Thermal channel  $\mathcal{E}_\eta^N$ :  $\eta_0 = \eta/(1 + (1 - \eta)N)$ ,  $\kappa_0 = 1 + (1 - \eta)N$ ;
- Additive classical noise channel  $\mathcal{N}_n$ :  $\eta_0 = 1/(n + 1)$ ,  $\kappa_0 = n + 1$  (see also [2]);
- Amplifier channel  $\mathcal{A}_\kappa^N$ :  $\eta_0 = k/[k + (k - 1)N]$ ,  $\kappa_0 = k + (k - 1)N$ .

### Linking the minimal entropy to the classical capacity

The  $m$ -mode energy-constrained  $\chi$ -capacity of a BGC  $\Phi$  is expressed as

$$C_\chi(\Phi^{\otimes m}; E) = \sup_{\text{ENS}} \left\{ S(\Phi^{\otimes m}[\sum_j p_j \rho_j]) - \sum_j p_j S(\Phi^{\otimes m}[\rho_j]) \right\}, \quad (2)$$

with the maximization being performed over all possible ensemble  $\text{ENS} = \{p_j, \rho_j\}$  whose average state  $\rho = \sum_j p_j \rho_j$  belongs to the set  $\mathcal{B}_E$  fulfilling the average energy constraint

$$\text{Tr}[H^{(m)} \rho_{\text{ENS}}] \leq mE. \quad (3)$$

where  $H^{(m)} = \sum_{j=1}^m a_j^\dagger a_j$  is the total photon number operator in the  $m$  modes.

An upper-bound for (2) can be easily obtained by replacing the first term entering the maximization on the rhs with the maximum output entropy attainable within the set  $\mathcal{B}_E$ , i.e.

$$S_{\text{max}}^{(E)}(\Phi^{\otimes m}) = \sup_{\rho \in \mathcal{B}_E} S(\Phi^{\otimes m}(\rho)). \quad (4)$$

For the maps (1) this quantity is additive in  $m$  and it is attained by  $[\rho_G^{(E)}]^{\otimes m}$  with  $\rho_G^{(E)}$  being a Gaussian state representing the Gibbs thermal state whose mean energy coincides with  $E$ ,

$$S_{\text{max}}^{(E)}(\Phi^{\otimes m}) = m S_{\text{max}}^{(E)}(\Phi) = m S(\Phi(\rho_G^{(E)})), \quad (5)$$

(see e.g. [6–9]). Accordingly, we can write

$$C_\chi(\Phi^{\otimes m}; E) \leq m S(\Phi(\rho_G^{(E)})) - \inf_{\text{ENS}} \sum_j p_j S(\Phi^{\otimes m}[\rho_j]). \quad (6)$$

In contrast with the conventional version of the min-entropy conjecture [2], we define here the min-entropy quantity

$$S_{\text{min}}^{(<)}[\Phi^{\otimes m}] := \inf_{\rho \in \mathcal{B}^{(<)}} S(\Phi^{\otimes m}(\rho)), \quad (7)$$

associated with the map  $\Phi^{\otimes m}$ , where the minimization is now restricted over the set  $\mathcal{B}^{(<)}$  of  $m$ -mode states  $\rho$  having

bounded mean input energy, i.e.,  $\text{Tr}[H^{(m)}\rho] < \infty$  (the minimization can be further restricted to the pure states of  $\mathcal{B}^{(<)}$  due to the concavity of  $S$ ). This allows us to rewrite the upper bound as

$$C_\chi(\Phi^{\otimes m}; E) \leq m S(\Phi(\rho_G^{(E)})) - S_{\min}^{(<)}[\Phi^{\otimes m}], \quad (8)$$

where the last inequality follows by exploiting the fact that for all  $\rho_j$  entering in one of the allowed ensembles  $\text{ENS} = \{p_j, \rho_j\}$ , the term  $S(\Phi^{\otimes m}[\rho_j])$  can be lower bounded by  $S_{\min}^{(<)}[\Phi^{\otimes m}]$ . This can be seen by noticing that in order to satisfy Eq. (3) all states  $\rho_j$  which are associated with a not null probability  $p_j$  must be in  $\mathcal{B}^{(<)}$ .

Finally, owing to the proof of the conjecture (2) of the main text derived in this paper, one gets the simple expression

$$C_\chi(\Phi^{\otimes m}; E) \leq m \left[ S(\Phi(\rho_G^{(E)})) - S(\Phi(|0\rangle\langle 0|)) \right] \quad (9)$$

and hence

$$C(\Phi; E) \leq S(\Phi(\rho_G^{(E)})) - S(\Phi(|0\rangle\langle 0|)). \quad (10)$$

It turns out that for the channels (1) these bounds are attainable by exploiting Gaussian encodings formed by Gaussian distribution of coherent states [10], yielding the expressions given in Eqs. (12), (14), (16), and (18).

### Minimal output entropy and classical capacity of the four fundamental Gaussian channels

Exploiting the conjecture (2) of the main text, we simply have to write the explicit expression of  $S(\Phi(|0\rangle\langle 0|))$  for each of the four fundamental classes of bosonic Gaussian channels. Together with the expression of  $S(\Phi(\rho_G^{(E)}))$ , we can use Eq. (10) to compute the classical capacity for each of the four fundamental channels (as explained, the upper bound is achieved with a Gaussian encoding). The minimal entropy and corresponding capacities are listed below.

- Thermal channel  $\mathcal{E}_\eta^N$ :

$$S_{\min}^{(<)}[\mathcal{E}_\eta^N] = g((1 - \eta)N), \quad (11)$$

$$C(\mathcal{E}_\eta^N; E) = g(\eta E + (1 - \eta)N) - g((1 - \eta)N); \quad (12)$$

- Additive classical noise channel  $\mathcal{N}_n$ :

$$S_{\min}^{(<)}(\mathcal{N}_n) = g(n), \quad (13)$$

$$C(\mathcal{N}_n; E) = g(E + n) - g(n); \quad (14)$$

- Amplifier channel  $\mathcal{A}_\kappa^N$ :

$$S_{\min}^{(<)}[\mathcal{A}_\kappa^N] = g((\kappa - 1)(N + 1)), \quad (15)$$

$$C(\mathcal{A}_\kappa^N; E) = g(\kappa E + (\kappa - 1)(N + 1)) - g((\kappa - 1)(N + 1)); \quad (16)$$

- Contravariant amplifier channel  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\kappa^N$ :

$$S_{\min}^{(<)}[\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\kappa^N] = g(\kappa(N + 1) - 1), \quad (17)$$

$$C(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\kappa^N; E) = g(\kappa N + (\kappa - 1)(E + 1)) - g(\kappa(N + 1) - 1); \quad (18)$$

In all these expressions, the function  $g(x) = (x + 1) \log_2(x + 1) - x \log_2 x$  refers to the von Neumann entropy of a Gibbs thermal Bosonic state with a mean photon number equal to  $x$ .

### Classical capacity of an arbitrary single-mode Gaussian channel

Using the same approach, an identity analogous to Eq. (2) of the main text can be shown to hold also for arbitrary non-degenerate single-mode channels  $\Psi$ . Indeed, as discussed in Refs. [11, 12], with few notable exceptions of channels with degenerate noise or signal treated in Ref. [13] all the single-mode channels can be expressed as a proper concatenation of one of the maps  $\Phi$  of Eq. (1) together with two squeezing and/or displacement unitary transformations  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  acting on the input and/or the output of the communication line, i.e.  $\Psi = \mathcal{U} \circ \Phi \circ \mathcal{V}$  (the symbol “ $\circ$ ” representing concatenation of super-operators). From Eq. (2) of the main text it then follows that also for these maps the min-entropy conjecture applies: this time however  $S_{\min}^{(<)}[\Phi^{\otimes m}]$  is achieved over a Gaussian input obtained by properly squeezing the vacuum state to compensate the action of  $\mathcal{V}$ . As different from the case of the BGCs of Eq. (1) this however will guarantee the optimality of Gaussian inputs for  $C(\Psi; E)$  and the additivity of  $C_\chi(\Psi; E)$  only for large enough values of the mean energy  $E$  (see e.g. Refs. [5, 14]).

### Entanglement of Formation

The two-mode Gaussian state  $\rho(\kappa, N)$  resulting from applying a two-mode squeezing operation  $U_\kappa$  to a thermal state  $\rho_G^{(N)}$ , of covariance matrix  $(2N + 1)\text{diag}(1, 1)$  tensor the vacuum state (covariance matrix  $\text{diag}(1, 1)$ ) reads

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} aI & c\sigma_z \\ c\sigma_z & bI \end{pmatrix}, \quad (19)$$

where  $\sigma_z = \text{diag}(1, -1)$ ,  $a = 2(N + 1)\kappa - 1$ ,  $b = 2(N + 1)\kappa - (2N + 1)$  and  $c = 2(N + 1)\sqrt{\kappa(\kappa - 1)}$ .

*The upper bound* It is easy to see that the covariance matrix can be decomposed as

$$\gamma = \gamma_0 + M \quad (20)$$

where

$$\gamma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} (2\kappa - 1)I & 2\sqrt{\kappa(\kappa - 1)}\sigma_z \\ 2\sqrt{\kappa(\kappa - 1)}\sigma_z & (2\kappa - 1)I \end{pmatrix} \quad (21)$$

is the covariance matrix of a two-mode squeezed vacuum state  $\rho(\kappa, 0)$ , and

$$M = 2N \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\kappa I}{\sqrt{\kappa(\kappa-1)}\sigma_z} & \sqrt{\kappa(\kappa-1)}\sigma_z \\ \sqrt{\kappa(\kappa-1)}\sigma_z & \kappa - 1I \end{pmatrix}. \quad (22)$$

The matrix  $M$  can easily be shown to be positive, as it can be diagonalized to  $\text{diag}(2\kappa - 1, 0)$ . This implies that one can generate the Gaussian state of covariance matrix  $\gamma$  out of a two-mode squeezed vacuum state  $\rho(\kappa, 0)$  of covariance matrix  $\gamma_0$  and applying random correlated displacements (LOCC post-processing) to both modes. This proves an upperbound to the entanglement of formation of such state, as the optimal decomposition can only have lower cost in terms of entanglement, i.e.,

$$\text{EoF}[\rho(\kappa, N)] \leq \text{EoF}[\rho(\kappa, 0)] = g(\kappa - 1), \quad (23)$$

where we used the fact that  $\rho(\kappa, 0)$  is a two-mode squeezed vacuum state and pure.

*The lower bound* To show that this quantity is also a lower bound for  $\text{EoF}[\rho(\kappa, N)]$  one can use the fact that the reduced density matrix of  $\rho(\kappa, N)$  coincides with the output state  $\mathcal{A}_\kappa(\rho_G^{(N)})$  of the quantum-limited amplifier to the thermal state  $\rho_G^{(N)}$ . Therefore exploiting the equivalence relation introduced in [15] one has that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{EoF}[\rho(\kappa, N)] &= \inf_{\{p_j; |\psi_j\rangle\}} \sum_j p_j S(\mathcal{A}_\kappa(|\psi_j\rangle\langle\psi_j|)) \\ &\geq S(\mathcal{A}_\kappa(|0\rangle\langle 0|)), \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where the minimization being performed over the pure state ensembles  $\mathcal{E} = \{p_j; |\psi_j\rangle\}$  of  $\rho_G^{(N)}$  (i.e.  $\sum_j p_j |\psi_j\rangle\langle\psi_j| = \rho_G^{(N)}$ ) and where the last inequality follows from Eq. (4) of the main text. Notice that since  $\rho_G^{(N)}$  has finite mean energy all the vectors  $|\psi_j\rangle$  entering the ensemble are elements of  $\mathcal{B}^{(<)}$ .

The combination of the upperbound and the lowerbound proves the equality

$$\text{EoF}[\rho(\kappa, N)] = \text{EoF}[\rho(\kappa, 0)] = \kappa \log[\kappa] - (\kappa - 1) \log[\kappa - 1]. \quad (25)$$

- 
- [1] D.F. Walls & G.J. Milburn, *Quantum Optics* (Springer 1994).
  - [2] V. Giovannetti, S. Guha, S. Lloyd, L. Maccone, & J. H. Shapiro, Minimum output entropy of bosonic channels: A conjecture, *Phys. Rev. A* **70**, 032315 (2004).
  - [3] F. Caruso & V. Giovannetti, Degradability of Bosonic Gaussian channels, *Phys. Rev. A* **74**, 062307 (2006).
  - [4] R. García-Patrón, C. Navarrete-Benlloch, S. Lloyd, J. H. Shapiro, & N. J. Cerf, Majorization theory approach to the Gaussian channel minimum entropy conjecture, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 110505 (2012).
  - [5] J. Schäfer, E. Karpov, R. García-Patrón, O. V. Pilyavets, & N. J. Cerf, Equivalence Relations for the Classical Capacity of Single-Mode Gaussian Quantum Channels, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 030503 (2013).
  - [6] V. Giovannetti, S. Guha, S. Lloyd, L. Maccone, & J. H. Shapiro, Minimum bosonic channel output entropies, *AIP Conf. Proc.* **734**, 21 (2004).
  - [7] R. König & G. Smith, Classical capacity of quantum thermal noise channels to within 1.45 Bits. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 040501 (2013).
  - [8] R. König & G. Smith, Limits on classical communication from quantum entropy power inequalities, *Nature Photon.* **7**, 142 (2013).
  - [9] V. Giovannetti, S. Lloyd, L. Maccone, & J. H. Shapiro, Electromagnetic channel capacity for practical purposes, *Nature Photon.* **7**, 834 (2013).
  - [10] A. S. Holevo & R. F. Werner, Evaluating capacities of bosonic Gaussian channels, *Phys. Rev. A* **63**, 032312 (2001).
  - [11] A. S. Holevo, One-mode quantum Gaussian channels: Structure and quantum capacity, *Probl. Inf. Transm.* **43**, 1-11 (2007).
  - [12] F. Caruso, V. Giovannetti, & A. S. Holevo, One-mode Bosonic Gaussian channels: a full weak-degradability classification, *New J. Phys.* **8**, 310 (2006).
  - [13] V. Giovannetti, A. S. Holevo, S. Lloyd, & L. Maccone, Generalized minimal output entropy conjecture for one-mode Gaussian channels: definitions and some exact results, *J. Phys. A* **43**, 415305 (2010).
  - [14] C. Lupo, O. V. Pilyavets, & S. Mancini, Capacities of lossy bosonic channel with correlated noise, *New J. Phys.* **11**, 063023 (2009).
  - [15] K. Matsumoto, T. Shiono, & A. Winter, Remarks on Additivity of the Holevo Channel Capacity and of the Entanglement of Formation, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **246**, 427-442 (2004).