# **Solutions to Exercise Sheet 1**

#### Exercise 1.

- (a) Example:  $\mathcal{X} = \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$ . With  $p(x) = \frac{1}{m}$  for  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ .
- (b)  $H(X) = -\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} p_i \log_2 p_i = \log_2 m = 6$  bits.
- (c) 6 bits are needed since  $2^6 = 64$ .
- (d) 3 symbols are needed since  $4^3 = 64$ .
- (e) Define the Lagrangian  $L(\{p_i\}) = H(X) + \lambda [\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} p_i 1].$ Condition for an extremum:

$$\forall i, \frac{\partial L}{\partial p_i} = 0$$

The distribution that maximizes H(X) (note that H(X) is concave) satisfies:

$$-\log_2 p_i - \frac{1}{\ln 2} + \lambda = 0 \qquad \forall i$$

It follows that  $p_i = 2^{\lambda - \frac{1}{\ln 2}}$ , *i.e.*  $p_i$  is a constant. If the constraint is applied then  $p_i = \frac{1}{m}$ .

#### Exercise 2.

- (a)  $H(X_p) = 1.75$  bits.
- (b)  $H(X_a) = 2$  bits.
- (c) The expected length of the codewords is 1.75 bits for the distribution p and 2.25 bits for the distribution q.
- (d) The entropy gives the minimal expected length of codewords one can obtain. The binary code *C* is optimal for the distribution *p*, since its expected length  $L_p = H(X_p)$ . For the distribution *q* we find  $L_q > H(X_q)$  and  $L_q > L_p$ , which implies that the code is not optimal. The optimal code for *q* is given by a simple enumeration of the elements of *X*; therefore it is impossible to compress that source.

**Exercise 3.** (a) H(X) = 2 bits.

(b) Sequence of questions:

Did "head" come up on the first flip?

Did "head" come up on the second flip??

Did "head" come up on the *n*th flip?

One bit can be associated with the answer to each question. The answers to *n* questions are therefore encoded in *n* bits. The expected number of "yes/no" questions is given by  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p(n)n = H(X) = 2$ . It is equal to the entropy, which shows that the sequence of questions is optimal.

#### Exercise 4.

- (a) H(Y) = H(X) = 1.875 bits, because the function is bijective (i.e. fixing Y also fixes X).
- (b) The function is not bijective, so H(Y) < H(X) with H(X) = 2.085 bits and H(Y) = 1,325 bits.

<sup>÷</sup> 

(c) H(X, f(X)) = H(X) + H(f(X)|X) but H(f(X)|X) = 0, because knowing X fixes f(X). H(f(X), X) = H(f(X)) + H(X|f(X)) but  $H(X|f(X)) \ge 0$ . Finally: H(f(X), X) = H(X, f(X)) implies  $H(f(X)) \le H(X)$ . It is saturated if H(X|f(X)) = 0, i.e. if the function Y = f(X) is bijective.

### Exercise 5.

(a) Definition of the conditional entropy:  $H(Y|X) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x)H(Y|X = x)$ .

$$H(Z|Y) = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} p(y)H(Z|Y = y) = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} p(y)H(X + Y|Y = y) = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} p(y)H(X|Y = y) = H(X|Y).$$

If *X* and *Y* are independent, then H(X|Y) = H(X). As conditioning can only reduce the entropy:  $H(Z|Y) \le H(Z)$ . We finally obtain  $H(X) \le H(Z)$ , and similarly  $H(Y) \le H(Z)$ .

#### (b) Example:

X Y	-1	-2	-3	-4	P(X)
1	1/4	0	0	0	1/4
2	0	1/4	0	0	1/4
3	0	0	1/8	1/8	1/4
4	0	0	1/8	1/8	1/4
P(Y)	1/4	1/4	1/4	1/4	

How to compute H(X) and H(Y):

 $H(Y) = H(X) = H(1/4, 1/4, 1/4, 1/4) = \log_2 4 = 2 \text{ bits.}$ We have  $\mathcal{Z} = \{3, 2, 1, 0, -1, -2, -3\}$  with P(Z = 0) = 3/4, P(Z = 1) = 1/8 and P(Z = -1) = 1/8. All other probabilities are zero. How to compute of H(Z):  $H(Z) = -\frac{3}{4}\log_2 \frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{4}\log_2 \frac{1}{8} = 1.061 \text{ bits.}$ Note that H(X) > H(Z) and H(Y) > H(Z).

(c) We require that *X* and *Y* are independent and all  $z_{i,j} = x_i + y_j$  are distinct for all pairs (i, j). If these conditions are satisfied then  $p_z(i, j) = p_x(i)p_y(j)$ , which gives us the solution (after substituting it in the definition of H(Z)).

Example:  $\mathcal{X} = \{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $\mathcal{Y} = \{10, 20, 30, 40\}$  for any probability distribution of *X* and *Y*, where *X* and *Y* are independently distributed.

## Exercise 6. Optional

Y X	-1	0	1	P(Y)
-2	0	1/3	0	1/3
1	1/3	0	1/3	2/3
P(X)	1/3	1/3	1/3	

In this example, because  $\langle X \rangle = \langle Y \rangle = \langle XY \rangle = 0$ , which makes r = 0. H(X : Y) = H(X) + H(Y) - H(X, Y) = 0.918 bit.